arXiv:1407.5767v1 [math.NA] 22 Jul 2014

A SIMPLE MONTE CARLO METHOD FOR SOLVING OF NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS.

E.Ostrovsky[a], **L.Sirota**[b]

[a] Corresponding Author. Department of Mathematics and computer science, Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.

E-mail: eugostrovsky@list.ru
eugeneiostrovsky@gmail.com

[b] Department of Mathematics and computer science. Bar-Ilan University, 84105, Ramat Gan, Israel.

E-mail: sirota3@bezeqint.net

Abstract.

We offer a simple Monte-Carlo method for solving of the multidimensional initial value and non-homogeneous problem for the Navier-Stokes Equations in whole space when the initial function and right hand side belong to the correspondent Sobolev-Lebesgue-Riesz space.

Keywords and phrases: Multivariate Navier-Stokes (NS) equations, Riesz integral transform, method Monte-Carlo, Gaussian and uniform distribution, polygonal beta distribution, depending trial method, random vectors generation, Sobolev-Lebesgue-Riesz spaces, initial value problem, Helmholtz-Weyl and Riesz projection, divergence, Laplace operator, heat equation and kernel, random processes and fields (r.p.; r.f.), Central Limit Theorem (CLT) in Banach spaces, non-asymptotical estimations for r.f., Young inequality, lifespan of solution.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 37B30, 33K55, 35Q30, 35K45; Secondary 34A34, 65M20, 42B25.

1 Introduction. Notations. Statement of problem.

The mild solution $u = u(x,t)$ of a Navier-Stokes equation in the whole space $x \in R^d$ during its lifetime $t \in [0,T],\ 0 < T = \mathrm{const} \le \infty$ may be represented as a limit as $n \to \infty, n = 0,1,2,\ldots$ in appropriate space-time norm of the following recursion:

$$u_{n+1}(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + G[u_n,u_n](x,t), n = 1,2,\ldots \tag{1.0}$$

where $u_0(x,t)$ is the well-known solution of *linear* heat equation with correspondent initial value and right-hand side and $G[u,v]$ is bilinear unbounded pseudo-differential operator, including space-time convolution, Riesz's transform, see [5], [6], [10], [12], [13], [17], [18], [40] etc.

We offer a simple depending trial Monte-Carlo method (stochastic modelling), without method based on solving of non-linear system of algebraic equations offered in [39], for multiple parametric integrals computation emerging in (1.0) such that by optimizing of the proportion between the amount of random variables with different degrees of integrals the speed of convergence of a *random approximation* $u_{n,N}$ to u_n as $N \to \infty$ is optimal: for many important space-times norms $|| \cdot ||$, for example mixed Bochner's type anisotropic Lebesgue-Riesz $L_{r,p}(R^d, [0,T])$ norms or Lebesgue-continuous norm

$$\forall n = 1, 2, \ldots \Rightarrow \mathbf{E}||u_{n,N} - u_n|| \le K(n)\ N^{-1/2}, \tag{1.0a}$$

analogously to the work [55] devoted to the linear integral equations. Here N denotes the *general amount* of elapsed random variables. The proof of this proposal used CLT and LIL in the considered spaces, see [56], [54], [52], [66], [69], [70], [71], [74] etc.

Detail description of problem.

We consider in this article the initial value problem for the multivariate Navier-Stokes (NS) equations

$$\partial u_t - 0.5\Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u = \nabla P,\ x \in R^d,\ d \ge 3,\ t > 0; \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathrm{Div}(u) = 0,\ x \in R^d,\ t > 0; \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(x,0) = a(x),\ x \in R^d. \tag{1.3}$$

Here as ordinary

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, \ldots, x_d) \in R^d;\ ||x|| := \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_j^2},$$

$$\partial g(x) = \mathrm{grad}\, g(x) = \{\partial g / \partial x_i\},$$

and

$$u = u(t) = u(t, \cdot) = u(x,t) = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_d(x,t)\}$$

denotes the (vector) velocity of fluid in the point x at the time t, P is represents the pressure.

Equally:

$$\partial u_i / \partial t = 0.5 \sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_{x_j}^2 u_i - \sum_{j=1}^{d} u_j \partial_{x_j} u_i + \partial_{x_i} P,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} \partial_{x_j} u_j = 0,\ u(x,0) = a(x),$$

$$\mathrm{Div}\, u = \mathrm{Div}\, \vec{u} = \mathrm{Div}\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d\} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k} = 0$$

in the sense of distributional derivatives.

As long as

$$P = \sum \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} R_j R_k (u_j \cdot u_k),$$

where $R_k = R_k^{(d)}$ is the k^{th} d dimensional Riesz transform:

$$R_k^{(d)}[f](x) = c(d) \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \int_{||y|| > \epsilon} ||y||^{-d} \Omega_k(y)\ f(x-y)\ dy,$$

$$c(d) = -\frac{\pi^{(d+1)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)},\ \Omega_k(x) = x_k/||x||,$$

the system (1.1) - (1.3) may be rewritten as follows:

$$\partial u_t = 0.5\Delta u - (u \cdot \nabla)u + Q \cdot \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u),\ x \in R^d,\ t > 0; \tag{1.4}$$

$$\mathrm{Div}(u) = 0,\ x \in R^d,\ t > 0; \tag{1.5}$$

$$u(x,0) = a(x),\ x \in R^d, \tag{1.6}$$

where Q is multidimensional Helmholtz-Weyl projection operator, i.e., the $d \times d$ matrix pseudo-differential operator in R^d with the matrix symbol

$$a_{i,j}(\xi) = \delta_{i,j} - \xi_i \xi_j / ||\xi||^2, \quad \delta_{i,j} = 1, i = j; \delta_{i,j} = 0,\ i \neq j.$$

The consistent regularization of the Riesz transform looks as follows:

$$R_{k,\epsilon}^{(d)}[f](x) = c(d) \int_{R^d} [\epsilon + ||y||^{-d}]\ \Omega_k(y)\ f(x-y)\ dy,$$

herewith

$$||R_{k,\epsilon}^{(d)}[f] - R_k^{(d)}[f]||_p \leq C(p)\ \epsilon\ ||f||_p,$$

see [35], chapters 4,5.

Note that the last representation of the Riesz's potential may be used by its Monte Carlo computation, if we will use the polar coordinates and the density of applied random variables to be proportional to the kernel $\epsilon + ||y||^{-d}$.

At the same considerations may be provided for the NS equations with external density of force $f = f(x,t)$:

$$\partial u_t = 0.5\Delta u - (u \cdot \nabla)u + Q \cdot \nabla \cdot (u \otimes u) + f(x,t),\ x \in R^d,\ t > 0;$$

$$u(x,0) = a(x),\ x \in R^d.$$

see [12] - [15], [20], [26], [29], [39].

More detail, the considered here problem may be rewritten as follows:

$$u(x,t) = e^{0.5t\Delta}a(x) + G[u,u](t) \stackrel{def}{=} u_0(x,t) + G[u,u](t) + v[f](x,t),$$

where

$$v[f](x,t) = v(x,t) = v = \int_0^t ds \int_{R^d} w_{t-s}(x-y)\ f(y,s)\ dy =$$

$$\int_0^t w_{t-s}(\cdot) * f(\cdot,s)\ ds.$$

We will denote further for simplicity

$$\int f(y)dy = \int f = \int_{R^d} f(y)dy,$$

$$w \odot u(x,t) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_0^t \int_{R^d} w_{t-s}(x-y)u(y,s)dsdy = \int_0^t \int w_{t-s}(x-y)u(y,s)dsdy.$$

We will understand henceforth as a capacity of the solution (1.4)-(1.6) the vector-function $u = \vec{u} = \{u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t), \ldots, u_d(x,t)\}$ *the so-called mild solution,* see [28].

Namely, the vector- function $u = u(\cdot,t) = u(x,t)$ satisfies almost everywhere in the time t the following *non-linear integral-differential equation:*

$$u(t) = e^{0.5t\Delta}a + \int_0^t e^{0.5(t-s)\Delta}[(u\cdot\nabla)u(s) + Q\cdot\nabla\cdot(u\otimes u)(s)]ds \stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$e^{0.5t\Delta}a + G[u,u](t) \stackrel{def}{=} u_0(x,t) + G[u,u](t), \tag{1.7}$$

the operator $\exp(0.5t\Delta)$ is the classical convolution integral operator with heat kernel:

$$u_0(x,t) := e^{0.5t\Delta}[a](x,t) = w_t(x) * a(x),$$

where $G(u,u) \stackrel{def}{=} F(u,u) = F(u)$,

$$F(u,v) = \int_0^t e^{0.5(t-s)\Delta}B[u,v](x,s)\ ds = \int_0^t \int_{R^d} w_{t-s}(x-y)\ B[u,v](y,s)ds\,dy, \tag{1.8}$$

$$B(u,v) := (u\cdot\nabla)v(s) + Q\cdot\nabla\cdot(u\otimes v)(s),$$

$$w_t(x) \stackrel{def}{=} (2\pi t)^{-d/2}\exp\left(-\frac{||x||^2}{2t}\right).$$

The convolution between two functions $r = f(t),\ g(t)$ defined on the set $R_+ = (0,\infty)$ is defined as usually

$$f\odot g(t) := \int_0^t f(t-s)\ g(s)\ ds = g\odot f(t)$$

("time-wise" convolution) and between two, of course, measurable vector-functions $u(x), v(x)$ defined on the whole space $x \in R^d$

$$u * v(x) = \int_{R^d} u(x-y)\ v(y)\ dy,$$

("space-wise" or "coordinate-wise" convolution).

The authors hope that this notations does not follow the confusion.

More results about the existence, uniqueness, numerical methods, and a priory estimates in the different Banach function spaces: Lebesgue-Riesz L_p, Morrey, Besov for this solutions see, e.g. in [1]- [41]. The first and besides famous result belong to J.Leray [24]; it is established there in particular the *global in time* solvability and uniqueness of NS system in the space $L_2(R^d)$ and was obtained a very interest a priory estimate for solution.

The quantitative estimations for solution and lifespan of NS equations in some rearrangement invariant spaces see, e.g. in [60], [61].

T.Kato in [17] proved in particular that if the initial function $a = a(x)$ belongs to the space $L_d(R^d)$ (in our notations), then there exists a positive time value $T > 0$ (lifespan of solution) such that the solution of NS system $u = u(x,t)$ there exists for $t \in (0,T)$, is smooth and satisfy some a priory integral estimates.

Furthermore, if the norm $||a||L_d(R^d)$ is sufficiently small, then $T = \infty$, i.e. the solution $u = u(x,t)$ is global.

The *upper* estimate for the value T, conditions for finite-time blow-up and asymptotical behavior of solution as $t \to T - 0$ see in the articles [43], [44], [2], [1], [45], [27], [31], [32] etc.

With regards the numerical methods for solving of NS equations, we note only the classical monograph [39] and articles [46], [40], [33].

Our purpose in this short report is to offer some modification of the optimal Monte - Carlo method for solving of NS equations during the lifespan of solution T.

The essence of the proposed method can be explained very simply: we will write the approximation $u_n(x,t)$ in the *explicit view* through multiple sums of multiple parametric integrals of increasing dimension, to calculate which may be used the so-called depending trial Monte Carlo method.

With regard to the Monte-Carlo method for the *function computation* (in the other terms, depending trials method) see [53], [55], [63], [66].

Note that Monte-Carlo method can not give a very high precision, but it is very simple. For instance, it does not use the solving of system of (non-linear!) algebraic equations and following is stable (robust).

2 Some Notations, with Clarification. The essence of the method.

As ordinary, for the measurable function $x \to u(x),\ x \in R^d$

$$||u||_p = \left[\int_{R^d} |u(x)|^p \, dx \right]^{1/p}. \tag{2.1}$$

Multidimensional case.

Let $u = \vec{u} = \{u_1(x), u_2(x), \ldots, u_d(x)\}$ be measurable vector-function: $u_k : R^d \to R$. We can define as ordinary the $L_p,\ p \ge 1$ norm of the function u by the following way:

$$||u||_p := \max_{k=1,2,\ldots,d} ||u_k||_p,\ p \ge 1. \tag{2.2}$$

We present now some important results belonging to T.Kato [17]; see also the article of H.Fujita and T.Kato [10]. Let us consider the following recursion:

$$u_{n+1}(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + G[u_n, u_n](t) = u_0(x,t) + G[u_n, u_n](t) \tag{2.3}$$

with initial condition for iterations

$$u_0(x,t) = (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \int_{R^d} \exp\left(-\frac{||x-y||^2}{2t} \right) \, a(y) \, dy +$$

$$\int_0^t ds \int_{R^d} (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{||x-y||^2}{2(t-s)} \right) \, f(y,s) \, dy.$$

So, the recursion (2.3) may be rewritten as follows:

$$u_{n+1}(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + (2\pi t)^{-d/2} \int_0^t \, ds \, \int_{R^d} \exp\left(-\frac{||x-y||^2}{2(t-s)} \right) \, F[u_n](y,s) \, dy. \tag{2.4}$$

H.Fujita and T.Kato [10], [17] proved that if $a(\cdot) \in L_d(R^d),\ \mathrm{Div}\, a = 0$, then there exists a positive value $T = T(||a||_d)$ (lifespan of solution) such that the iteration sequence $u_n(\cdot,\cdot)$ converges in the senses (1.9) to the uniquely defined solution of NS equations.

Furthermore, if the norm $||a||_d$ is sufficiently small, then $T = \infty$ (global solution).

The quantitative lower bound for lifespan T and some quantitative a priory estimation in Grand Lebesgue Spaces (GLS) for solution $u = u(x,t)$ see, e.g. in [60], [61].

Moreover, for all the values $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exist a constants $q_1 = q_1(\delta), q_2 \in (0,1)$ such that

$$||u_n - u||B((0,T), L_{d/\delta}) \le C_1(a; d, \delta) \, q_1^n$$

and

$$||\nabla u_n - \nabla u||B((0,T),L_d) \le C_2(a;d)\ q_2^n.$$

A. Note that if $f(x,t) = 0$ then

$$u_0(x,t) = u_0(x,t) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{R^d} \exp\left(-\frac{||z||^2}{2}\right)\ a(x+z\sqrt{t})\ dz =$$

$$\mathbf{E}a(x+\xi\cdot\sqrt{t}), \tag{2.5}$$

where the *random vector* ξ has a *Gaussian* $d-$dimensional standard distribution.

This imply that the random vector ξ has a *Gaussian* $d-$dimensional distribution with parameters

$$\mathbf{E}\xi = 0, \quad \mathrm{Var}\,\xi = I_d$$

be an unit matrix of a size $d \times d$.

Let $\{\xi_i\},\ i = 1,2,\ldots,N$ be a sequence of independent Gaussian $d-$dimensional standard distributed random vectors. The Monte-Carlo approximation $u_{0,N} = u_{0,N}(x,t)$ in that its modification which is called "depending trial method" [53] for $u_0(x,t)$ has a view

$$u_{0,N}(x,t) = u_{0,N}[a](x,t) := N^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x+\xi_i\ \cdot\sqrt{t}). \tag{2.6}$$

B. Analogously, let us consider the $d-$dimensional heat equation with zero initial values but with external force:

$$\partial_t u^{(0)}(x,t) = 0.5\Delta u^{(0)}(x,t) + f(x,t),\ u^{(0)}(x,0) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Then $u^{(0)}(x,t) = u^{(0)}[f](x,t) =$

$$\int_0^t [w_{t-s} * f](x,s)\ ds = \int_0^t ds\ \int_{R^d} (2\pi(t-s))^{-d/2}\ \exp\left(-\frac{||x-y||^2}{2(t-s)}\right)\ f(y,s)\ dy =$$

$$t\int_0^1 dv\ \int_{R^d} (2\pi)^{-d/2}\ e^{-||z||^2/2}\ f(x+z\sqrt{t}\ \sqrt{(1-v)},\ t\cdot v)\ dz =$$

$$\mathbf{E}\ [t\ f(x+\eta\sqrt{t}\ \sqrt{(1-\tau)},\ t\cdot\tau)], \tag{2.8}$$

where the random *vector* η has a Gaussian $d-$dimensional standard distribution, the random *variable* τ is uniformly distributed on the unit segment $[0,1]$.

Let $\{\eta_i\},\ i = 1,2,\ldots,N$ be a sequence of independent Gaussian $d-$dimensional standard distributed random vectors and $\{\tau_i\},\ i = 1,2,\ldots,N$ be a sequence of independent and independent on the $\{\eta_i\}$ uniform distributed on the segment $[0,1]$ random variables.

The Monte-Carlo approximation $u_N^{(0)} = u_N^{(0)}(x,t) = u_N^{(0)}[f](x,t)$ in that its modification which is called "depending trial method" for $u^{(0)}(x,t)$ has a view

$$u_N^{(0)}(x,t) = u_N^{(0)}[f](x,t) := \frac{t}{N} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x + \eta_i \sqrt{t} \sqrt{(1-\tau_i)},\ t \cdot \tau_i) \right]. \tag{2.9}$$

Of course, the "initial" function $u_0(x,t)$ may be computed by means of deterministic methods: finite differences, finite elements method etc., as well as the Riesz's transform computation.

C. The expression for the member $G[u]$ or for the $G[u_n]$ is alike to the one in the formula (2.8) with replacing $f := F = F[u](x,t)$.

Let us define the following iteration sequence:

$$u_{n+1,N(n+1)}(x,t) = u_{0,N(0)}[a](x,t) +$$

$$\frac{t}{N(n+1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N(n+1)} F[u_{n,N(n)}] \left(x + \eta_i^{(n+1)} \sqrt{t} \sqrt{(1-\tau_i^{(n+1)})},\ t \cdot \tau_i^{(n+1)} \right) \right]. \tag{2.10}$$

Here $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, L$; the number L is the total number of iterations,

$$(N(0), N(1), N(2), \ldots, N(L)) = (N^{(L)}(0), N^{(L)}(1), N^{(L)}(2), \ldots, N^{(L)}(L))$$

is the sequence of integer numerical vectors ever-increasing dimension $L+1$, $L = 1, 2, \ldots$; $L \to \infty$ such that

$$\forall n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots L \ \Rightarrow \lim_{L \to \infty} N^{(L)}(n) = \infty.$$

The random vectors $\eta_i^{(n+1)}$ have $d-$ dimensional standard Gaussian distribution, the random variables $\tau_i^{(n+1)}$ are uniformly distributed in the unit segment $[0,1]$ and *all the introduced random vectors and variables are independent.*

The total number of spent random *variables*, i.e. including the vector coordinates $M = M(L)$ may be calculated as follows:

$$M = M(L) = 2N^{(L)}(0) + \sum_{d=1}^{L} (d+1) N^{(L)}(d). \tag{2.11}$$

But it is very hard to error estimate of this procedure, especially in important Banach functional norms for the computated function.

A. Let us consider now the alternative approach.

Namely, we denote

$$J_m(h) = \partial w \odot \partial w \odot \ldots \odot \partial w \odot h =$$

$$\int_0^t ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \ldots \int_0^{s_{m-1}} ds_m \int_{R^{dm}} (2\pi)^{-dm/2} (t - s_1)^{-d/2} (s_1 - s_2)^{-d/2} \ldots (s_{m-1} - s_m)^{-d/2} \times$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{||x-y_1||^2}{2(t-s_1)}-\frac{||y_1-y_2||^2}{2(s_1-s_2)}-\ldots-\frac{||y_{m-1}-y_m||^2}{2(s_{m-1}-s_m)}\right]\times$$

$$\left(\frac{x-y_1}{t-s_1}\cdot\frac{y_1-y_2}{s_1-s_2}\cdot\ldots\cdot\frac{y_{m-1}-y_m}{s_{m-1}-s_m}\right)\ h(y,s)\ dy. \tag{2.12}$$

We make the change of variables in the interior integral as follows:

$$y_1=x+z_1\sqrt{t-s_1},\ y_2=y_1+z_2\sqrt{s_1-s_2},\ldots,y_m=y_{m-1}+z_m\sqrt{s_{m-1}-s_m},$$

with Jacobian

$$[(t-s_1)(s_1-s_2)\ldots(s_{m-1}-s_m)]^{d/2},$$

and after this - the substitution $s_j=t\cdot\tau_j$ with Jacobian $t^{m/2}$. The resulting transform may be written briefly as follows

$$(y,s)=Y(z,\tau)=Y_{x,t}(z,\tau),\ y,z\in(R^d)^m=R^{dm},\ s,\tau\in(R_+)^m,$$

where the values $x\in R^d,\ t\in R^1_+$ be a fix (temporarily).

We obtain: $J_m(h)\cdot t^{-m/2}(2\pi)^{dm/2}=$

$$\int_{S(m)}d\tau\int_{R^{dm}}dz\frac{1}{(1-\tau_1)^{1/2}}\ \frac{1}{(\tau_1-\tau_2)^{1/2}}\ldots\frac{1}{(\tau_{m-1}-\tau_m)^{1/2}}\times$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{||z_1||^2}{2}-\frac{||z_2||^2}{2}-\ldots-\frac{||z_m||^2}{2}\right]\cdot\tilde{h}(z,\tau), \tag{2.13}$$

where $S(m)$ is an $m-$ dimensional unit polygon (simplex) of a form $S(m)=$

$$\{\tau=\vec{\tau}=(\tau_1,\tau_2,\ldots,\tau_m):0<\tau_1<1,0<\tau_2<\tau_1,0<\tau_3<\tau_2,\ldots,0<\tau_m<\tau_{m-1}\},$$

and

$$\tilde{h}(z,\tau)=\tilde{h}_{x,t}(z,\tau)=\prod_{j=1}^m z_j\cdot h(Y(z,\tau))=\prod_{j=1}^m z_j\cdot h(Y_{x,t}(z,\tau)).$$

It is easy to calculate

$$\int\int\ldots\int_{S(m)}\frac{ds_1ds_2\ldots ds_m}{s_1^{\alpha_1}(s_2-s_1)^{\alpha_2}(s_3-s_2)^{\alpha_3}\ldots(s_m-s_{m-1})^{\alpha_m}}=$$

$$\frac{\prod_{k=1}^m\Gamma(1-\alpha_k)}{\Gamma(1+\sum_{k=1}^m(1-\alpha_k))},\ 0\le\alpha_k<1.$$

Denote $W_m=W(m)=\pi^{m/2}/\Gamma(1+m/2)-$ the volume of an unit ball of the Euclidean space R^m,

$$H_m(s)=[(1-s_1)(s_1-s_2)(s_2-s_3)\ldots(s_{n-1}-s_n)]^{-1/2}/W_m\stackrel{def}{=}$$

$$R_m(s)/W_m,\ s \in S(m). \tag{2.14}$$

Evidently, $\lim_{m\to\infty} W_m = 0$.

Recall in addition to the article [67] that the generating function for the sequence

$$W_m(\beta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+n\beta)},$$

i.e. the function

$$ML_\beta(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\Gamma(1+n\beta)},\ z \in C$$

is named as Mittag - Lefler function.

Therefore, the function $s \to H_m(s),\ s \in S(m)$ could be chosen as a density of distribution of a random variable (vector), say, $\kappa = \kappa_m$ with support on the simplex $S(m)$:

$$\mathbf{P}(\kappa_m \in G) = \int_G H_m(s)\ ds \stackrel{def}{=} \mu_m(G).$$

This random vector $\kappa = \kappa_m = \vec{\kappa} = \vec{\kappa}_m$ with values in the polygon $S(m)$ is a particular case of the so - called *polygonal Beta distribution,* written: $\mathrm{Law}(\kappa) = PB(1/2, m)$, iff it has a density $H_m(s),\ s \in S(m)$, see e.g. [67].

Note that $\dim \kappa_m = m$. The the most economical way of generation of this distribution, such that for each value κ_m is elapsed exact m random variables uniform distributed on the interval $(0, 1)$, is described in the aforementioned article [67].

We can offer the following probabilistic representations for the integral $J_m(h)$:

$$J_m(h) \cdot t^{-m/2}/W_m = \mathbf{E}\tilde{h}(\zeta, \kappa_m),$$

where the distribution of the r.v. κ_m was described before, the random vector $\zeta = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_m\}$ consists on the m independent centered Gaussian standard distributed *matrices* of the size $d \times d$:

$$f_\zeta(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m) = (2\pi)^{-dm/2}\ \exp\left[-\frac{||z_1||^2}{2} - \frac{||z_2||^2}{2} - \ldots - \frac{||z_m||^2}{2}\right] \tag{2.15}$$

and the random vectors (ζ, κ_m) are independent.

In the sequel the notation $||A||^2$ for the $d \times d$ matrix $A = \{a_{i,j}\}$ with real entries denotes

$$||A||^2 \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{i,j}^2.$$

We denote also for the positive semi - definite matrix $A = \{a_{i,j}\}$

$$[A] := \max_i a_{i,i}.$$

Note that for the r.v. (ζ, κ_m) generation need $m + dm = m(d+1)$ uniform distributed on the set $[0,1]$ r.v.

Let $N(m)(= N(m,n))$ be arbitrary positive integer numbers. The Monte Carlo approximation $J_{m,N(m)}$ for the integral $J_m(h)$, the so-called "depending trial method", see [53], [55] has the form

$$J_{m,N(m)}(h) := t^{m/2} \cdot W_m \cdot \frac{1}{N(m)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} \tilde{h}(\zeta_i, \kappa_{m,i}), \tag{2.16}$$

where the r.v. $(\zeta_i, \kappa_{m,i})$ are independent copies of (ζ, κ_m).

Of course, this estimate is unbiased: $\mathbf{E}J_{m,N(m)} = J_m(h)$. Let us estimate the variation of $J_{m,N(m)}$. Evidently,

$$[\mathrm{Var}]J_{m,N(m)}(h) \le t^m \cdot W_m \cdot \frac{1}{N(m)} \cdot J_m(\tilde{h}^2), \tag{2.17}$$

and following

$$[\mathrm{Var}]J_{m,N(m)}(h) \le t^m \cdot W_m^2 \cdot \frac{1}{N(m)} \cdot \sup_{y,s} h^2(y,s). \tag{2.17a}$$

Note that the integral $J_m(\tilde{h}^2)$ may be estimated as well as the source integral $J_m(h)$.

B. We denote and consider now the following integral

$$I_m(h) = w \odot w \odot \ldots \odot w \odot h =$$

$$\int_0^t ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \ldots \int_0^{s_{m-1}} ds_m \int_{R^{dm}} (2\pi)^{-dm/2} (t-s_1)^{-d/2} (s_1-s_2)^{-d/2} \ldots (s_{m-1}-s_m)^{-d/2} \times$$

$$\exp\left[-\frac{||x-y_1||^2}{2(t-s_1)} - \frac{||y_1-y_2||^2}{2(s_1-s_2)} - \ldots - \frac{||y_{m-1}-y_m||^2}{2(s_{m-1}-s_m)} \right] \times h(y,s)\, dy. \tag{2.18}$$

We have $I_m(h) \cdot t^{-m} (2\pi)^{dm/2} =$

$$\int_{S(m)} d\tau \int_{R^{dm}} dz \times \exp\left[-\frac{||z_1||^2}{2} - \frac{||z_2||^2}{2} - \ldots - \frac{||z_m||^2}{2} \right] \cdot \tilde{h}(z,\tau), \tag{2.19}$$

where as before $S(m)$ is an $m-$ dimensional unit polygon (simplex), $(y,s) = Y(z,\tau)$ is at the same substitution and $(z,\tau) = Y(y,s)$, $\tilde{h}(z,\tau) = h(Y(y,s))$.

Since the volume of the simplex $S(m)$ is equal to $1/m!$, the expression for the integral $I_m(h)$ obeys a following probabilistic representation:

$$t^{-m} \cdot m! \cdot I_m(h) = \mathbf{E}\tilde{h}(\zeta, \nu_m), \tag{2.20}$$

where the r.v. ζ has as before multidimensional centered standard Gaussian (Normal) distribution in the space $R^{d\ m}$, the random vector ν_m has an *uniform* distribution in the polygon $S(m)$ and the r.v. (ζ, ν_m) are independent.

Recall that this distribution is a particular case of general Polygonal Beta distribution, see [67].

Naturally, the Monte Carlo approximation for $I_m(h)$ has a form

$$I_{m,N(m)}(h) := t^m \cdot \frac{1}{m!} \cdot \frac{1}{N(m)} \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} \tilde{h}(\zeta_i, \nu_{m,i}), \tag{2.21}$$

where $N(m)$ is non-random positive integer number, $(\zeta_i, \nu_{m,i})$ are independent copies of the r.v. (ζ, ν_m).

This estimate is unbiased: $\mathbf{E} I_{m,N(m)} = I_m(h)$. Let us estimate the variation of the random value $I_{m,N(m)}$.

$$[\mathrm{Var}] I_{m,N(m)}(h) \le t^{2m} \cdot \frac{1}{m!} \cdot \frac{1}{N(m)} \cdot I_m(\tilde{h}^2) \tag{2.22}$$

and following

$$[\mathrm{Var}] I_{m,N(m)}(h) \le t^{2m} \cdot \frac{1}{m!^2} \cdot \frac{1}{N(m)} \cdot \sup_{y,s} h^2(y,s). \tag{2.22a}$$

Note that the integral $I_m(\tilde{h}^2)$ may be estimated as before as well as the source integral $I_m(h)$.

C. Mixed case.

We introduce for simplicity two operators:

$$T_w[h](x,t) := [w \odot g](x,t), \qquad T_{\partial w}[g](x,t) := [\partial w \odot g](x,t), \tag{2.23}$$

and consider the following multiple convolution:

$$K[h] = K[h](y,s) = K_{m_1,m_2}[h](y,s) := T_w^{m_1} T_{\partial w}^{m_2}[h](y,s). \tag{2.24}$$

Here m_1, m_2 be non - negative integer numbers and we denote (temporarily) $m = m_1 + m_2$, and suppose $m \ge 1$; the case $m = 0$ is trivial.

We offer and investigate the Monte - Carlo approximation for $K[h]$ computation alike in the pilcrow **A** and in the pilcrow **B.**

Figuratively speaking, the pilcrow **C** is synthesis of the subsections **A** and **B.**

We obtain after at the same linear changing of variables the following expression for the function $K(\cdot)$

$$K_{m_1,m_2}[h] = (2\pi)^{dm/2} \cdot t^{m_1/2+m_2} \cdot \int_{S(m_1)} d\tau \int_{S(m_2)} d\theta \int_{R^{dm}} dz \; R_m(\tau) \times$$

$$\exp\left(-0.5 \sum_{i=1}^{m} ||z_i||^2\right) \cdot \tilde{h}(z, \tau, \theta),$$

which admit the next probabilistic representation

$$K_{m_1,m_2}[h] = t^{m_1/2+m_2} \cdot W(m_1) \cdot (1/m_2!) \cdot \mathbf{E}\tilde{h}\left(\zeta, \kappa_{m_1}, \nu_{m_2}\right), \tag{2.25}$$

where as before the random vectors $(\zeta, \kappa_{m_1}, \nu_{m_2})$ are (common) independent, the random vector ζ is normal centered standard blocky distributed in the space $R^{d\ m}$, the r.v. κ_{m_1} has the Polygonal Beta distribution with index (-0.5) in the simplex $S(m_1)$ and the r.v. ν_{m_2} is uniformly distributed inside the *other* simplex $S(m_2)$.

The Monte Carlo approximation $K_{m_1,m_2,N(m)}[h]$ for the function $K_{m_1,m_2}[h]$ is clear:

$$K_{m_1,m_2,N(m)}[h] := t^{m_1/2+m_2} \cdot W(m_1) \cdot (1/m_2!) \cdot \frac{1}{N(m)} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N(m)} \tilde{h}\left(\zeta_i, \kappa_{m_1,i}, \nu_{m_2,i}\right), \tag{2.26}$$

where $(\zeta_i, \kappa_{m_1,i}, \nu_{m_2,i})$ are independent copies of $(\zeta, \kappa_{m_1}, \nu_{m_2})$.

The approximation $K_{m_1,m_2,N(m)}[h]$ has the following variation estimate

$$[\mathrm{Var}](K_{m_1,m_2,N(m)}[h]) \le t^{m_1+2m_2} \cdot W^2(m_1) \cdot (1/m_2!^2) \cdot \sup_{y,s} h^2(y,s)/N(m), \tag{2.27}$$

which allows in turn a very simple but rough estimate

$$[\mathrm{Var}](K_{m_1,m_2,N(m)}[h]) \le \max(t^m, t^{2m}) \cdot W^2(m) \cdot \sup_{y,s} h^2(y,s)/N(m). \tag{2.28}$$

Note that the number $N(m)$ dependent only on the number m, but not on the individual numbers $m_1,\ m_2$. Therefore, the general amount of standard uniformly distributed on the interval $(0,1)$ random numbers for $K_{m_1,m_2,N(m)}[h]$ computations in (2.26) is equal to $N(m) \cdot (m + dm) = N(m) \cdot m \cdot (d+1)$.

3 Auxiliary facts. Non-linear recursions.

A. Polynomial recursion.

Let us introduce the following sequence of polynomials $\{P_n(z)\},\ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$: $P_0(z) = 0,\ P_1(z) = z$ and by recursion over n :

$$P_{n+1}(z) = z + P_n^2(z),\ n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

For instance,

$$P_2(z) = z + z^2 + 2z^3 + z^4;\ P_3(z) = z + z^2 + 2z^3 + 5z^4 + 6z^5 + 6z^6 + 4z^7 + z^8.$$

Evidently, $P_n(0) = 0$ and $\deg(P_n) = 2^n$. Further, we conclude by means of induction

$$P_n(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} A(m,n) z^m,$$

where the coefficients $\{A(m,n)\}$ are positive and integer.

We derive substituting the value $z = 1$ and denoting

$$P_n(1) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} A(m,n) =: \tilde{P}(n)$$

the following recursion:

$$\tilde{P}(0) = 0,\ \tilde{P}(1) = 1,\ \tilde{P}(n+1) = 1 + (\tilde{P}(n))^2.$$

Suppose $0 < z < 1/4$; then the sequence $\{P_n(z)\},\ n = 1, 2, \ldots$ monotonically increases and converges uniformly in the ball

$$\{z :\ |z| \le 1/4 - \epsilon_0\},\ \epsilon_0 = \mathrm{const} \in (0, 1/4)$$

to the analytic function $P(z)$ which satisfies the equation

$$P(z) = 1 + z\ P^2(z),\ \Leftrightarrow P(z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4z}}{2z};\ P(0) = 1.$$

This function has a Taylor's expression

$$P(z) = 1 + \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2M)!}{M!\ (M+1)!}\ z^M.$$

As long as

$$\frac{(2M)!}{M!\ (M+1)!} \le \pi^{-1/2}\ M^{-1/2}\ (M+1)^{-1}\ 2^{2M}\ e^{5/(24M)},\ M \ge 1,$$

the series for the function $P(z)$ converge inside the closed ball $|z| \le 1/4$.

We conclude also

$$\sup_n A(M,n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} A(M,n) = \frac{(2M)!}{M!\ (M+1)!}.$$

Moreover, let us introduce the following relation of equivalence $E(n), n = 2, 3, \ldots$, more exactly, the sequence of relations, between the polynomials $P = P(z)$ and $Q = Q(z)$:

$$P \overset{E(n)}{\sim} Q \Leftrightarrow \forall k \le n-1 \Rightarrow P = Q \bmod z^k.$$

We deduce then by induction:

$$P_{n+1} \overset{E(n-1)}{\sim} P_n,$$

and as a consequence

$$\forall k \le n-1 \Rightarrow A(k, n-1) = A(k,n).$$

B. Non - linear numerical recursion.

The following numerical recurrence relation (dynamical system) with quadratic non-linearity

$$D(n+1) = 1 + d^2 \cdot D^2(n)$$

with initial condition $D(0) = 1$ is investigated with some numerical examples in the important case $d = 3$ in particular in the article [68].

For instance, it was obtained there the speed of increase of solution $D(n)$ as $n \to \infty$ and bilateral exact bounds.

For example:

$$D(0) = 1,\ D(1) = 10,\ D(2) = 901,\ D(3) = 811\ 802,\ D(4) = 659\ 022\ 487\ 205,$$

$$D(5) = 434\ 310\ 638\ 641\ 864\ 388\ 712\ 026;$$

$$\forall k, l = 1, 2, \ldots \Rightarrow 1 \le \frac{9\ D(k+l)}{[9\ D(l)]^{2^k}} \le \left[1 + \frac{1}{9D^2(l)}\right]^{2^k - 1},$$

$$\forall k \ge 1 \Rightarrow \lim_{l \to \infty} \frac{9\ D(k+l)}{[9\ D(l)]^{2^k}} = 1.$$

It is proved also in [68] that $D(n)$ is number of independent summands for the n^{th} iteration $u_n,\ \partial u_n$.

4 Iterations.

Notations. $v = v_0 = u_0 \cdot \partial u_0; \qquad |||u(\cdot,\cdot)||| :=$

$$\max_{i=1,2,\ldots,d} \max_{j=1,2,\ldots,d} \sup_{x,t} \max\{|\max(|x|,1)\ u_i(x,t)\ |, \max(|x|,1)|\partial u_i/\partial x_j|\}. \qquad (4.0)$$

The mild solution $u = u(x,t)$ of a Navier-Stokes equation in the whole space $x \in R^d$ throughout its lifetime $t \in [0,T], T = \mathrm{const} \le \infty$ may be represented as a limit as $n \to \infty, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ the following recursion:

$$u_{n+1}(x,t) = u_0(x,t) + G[u_n, u_n](x,t), n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where $u_0(x,t)$ is the solution of heat equation with correspondent initial $a(x)$ value and right-hand side $f(x,t)$:

$$\partial u_0/\partial t = 0.5\ \Delta u_0 + f(x,t),\ u_0(x, 0+) = a(x)$$

and $G[u,v]$ is bilinear unbounded pseudo-differential operator, [17].

The iterative recursion may be written as follows:

$$u_{n+1} = u_0 + w \odot v_n, \qquad \partial u_{n+1} = \partial u_0 + \partial w \odot v_n,$$

where

$$v_n := u_n \cdot \partial u_n, \ n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots .$$

For instance,

$$u_1 = u_0 + w \odot v, \qquad \partial u_1 = \partial u_0 + \partial w \odot v, \tag{4.1}$$

$$u_2 = u_0 + w \odot v_1, \qquad \partial u_2 = \partial u_0 + \partial w \odot v_1,$$

and we obtain after substitution

$$u_2 = u_0 + w \odot v + w \odot [u_0 \cdot (\partial w \odot v)] +$$

$$w \odot \{[w \odot v] \cdot \partial u_0\} + w \odot [(w \odot v) \cdot (\partial w \odot v)] , \tag{4.2a}$$

$$\partial u_2 = \partial u_0 + \partial w \odot v + \partial w \odot [u_0 \cdot (\partial w \odot v)] +$$

$$\partial w \odot \{[w \odot v] \cdot \partial u_0\} + \partial w \odot [(w \odot v) \cdot (\partial w \odot v)] . \tag{4.2b}$$

It follows from the inductions method that

$$u_n = u_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{D(n)} Q_r(u_0), \tag{4.3a}$$

$$\partial u_n = \partial u_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{D(n)} \partial Q_r(u_0), \tag{4.3b}$$

where

$$Q_r(u_0) = Q_r(u_0, \partial u_0, v, \ldots)$$

is *homogeneous* relative the source function $u_0(\cdot, \cdot)$ non - linear operator acting on the continuous differentiable functions defined on the semi - space $R^d \times R_+$ into itself.

Of course, we offer to compute each integral in (4.3a) and in (4.3b) by means of the Monte Carlo method. We discuss many technical details.

Note first of all that every member $Q_r(\cdot)$ in (4.3a) (and analogously in (4.3b)) has a form

$$Q_r(\cdot) = Q_{r;l_1,l_2}(\cdot) = Q^{(n)}_{r;l_1,l_2}(\cdot) = T^{l_1}_w T^{l_2}_{\partial w}[h],$$

with appropriate function $h = h[u_0] = h_{l_1,l_2}[u_0](y, s)$, where

$$l := l_1 + l_2 = \deg_{u_0}(h) - 1. \tag{4.4}$$

The last notion $\deg_{u_0}[h] \stackrel{def}{=} k$ implies by definition that

$$h[\lambda u_0] = \lambda^k h[u_0],\ \lambda = \mathrm{const} \in R.$$

The expression (4.3a) and equally (4.3b) may be rewritten as follows.

$$u_n = u_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} L_k[u_0],$$

where

$$L_k[u_0] = L_k^{(n)}[u_0] = \sum \sum_{l_1,l_2:l_1+l_2=k} Q_{r;l_1,l_2}^{(n)}[h]. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that the amount of summands in the right - hand side of the expression (4.5) is equal to $A(k,n)$.

Each member in (4.5) has the degree $k-1$ relative the function $u_0(\cdot,\cdot)$ and may be computed by means of the Monte Carlo method in accordance to the second section.

We offer to give for all the members into (4.5) computation at the same amount $N(k) = N(k,n)$ random (quasi - random) independent vector variables, so that the *general* amount the standard (uniformly distributed) r.v. (spending) for approximation $u_n \approx u_{n,N}$ Monte-Carlo computation is equal to

$$N = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} A(k,n) \cdot N(k,n) \cdot d(k+1). \tag{4.6}$$

Notice that at the same random variables may be used also for the ∂u_n Monte Carlo computation, for the sake of saving.

We give now the rough variation estimate for $u_{n,N}$ approximation based on the formulae 2.28. Namely,

$$[\mathrm{Var}](u_{n,N}) \le \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \max(t^k, t^{2k}) \cdot W^2(k) \cdot A(k,n) \cdot |||u_0|||^{2k}/N(k,n) \tag{4.7}$$

and at the same estimate is true for $\partial u_n \approx \partial u_{n,N}$ Monte Carlo computation.

Remark 4.1. Recall that the functions $u,\ \partial u$ and following $u_n,\ \partial u_n$ are vector and moreover matrix functions. For instance, $\partial u_i^{(j)} = \partial u_i / \partial x_j$.

But for the $\partial u_i / \partial x_j$ by means of offered here method can be used, for the sake of saving, at the same random variables as by computation $u_n = u_{n,i}$.

5 Subject of optimization

It seems quite reasonable the following statement of constrained optimization problem. Let the general amount of spending standard distributed r.v. N be a given "great" number, for example, $N = 10^6 \ - \ 10^8$.

Subject of minimization:

$$Z := Z(N(1), N(2), \ldots, N(2^n)) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} W^2(k) \cdot A(k,n) \cdot |||u_0|||^{2k}/N(k) \tag{5.1}$$

This function is weakly proportional to the upper estimation for the variance $\mathrm{Var}(u_{n,N})$ in (4.7), moreover:

$$[\mathrm{Var}](u_{n,N}) \asymp Z(N(1), N(2), \ldots, N(2^n)),\ t \in (0,T),\ T = \mathrm{const} \in (0,\infty).$$

Restriction:

$$Y := Y(N(1), N(2), \ldots, N(2^n)) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} A(k,n) \cdot N(k) \cdot d(k+1) = N. \tag{5.2}$$

So, we get to the following problem of constrained optimization:

$$Z(N(1), N(2), \ldots, N(2^n)) \to \min / Y(N(1), N(2), \ldots, N(2^n)) = N,\ N(k) \ge 1. \tag{5.3}$$

We find by means of Lagrange factor method neglecting to start an integer variables:

$$N_0(k) = \frac{N\ d^{-3/2}\ W(k)\ |||u_0|||^k}{\sum_{r=1}^{2^n} W(r)\ |||u_0|||^r},$$

up to around to nearest integer number. Herewith

$$\min_{\{N(k)\}} [\mathrm{Var}](u_{n,N}) \asymp \frac{d^{3/2}}{N} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{2^n} W(m) \cdot A(m,n) \cdot |||u_0|||^m \times$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{2^n} (r+1)^{1/2} \cdot W(r) \cdot A(r,n) \cdot |||u_0|||^r. \tag{5.4}$$

Some slight simplification: as $N \to \infty$

$$\min_{\{N(k)\}} [\mathrm{Var}](u_{n,N}) \asymp \frac{d^{3/2} C(n)}{N} \tag{5.5a}$$

and analogously

$$\min_{\{N(k)\}} [\mathrm{Var}](\partial u_{n,N}) \asymp \frac{d^{3/2} C(n)}{N}. \tag{5.5b}$$

The last estimates imply that the speed of convergence $u_{n,N}$ to u_n as $N \to \infty$ is equal $N^{-1/2}$, as in the classical Monte Carlo method.

For the linear integral equations this effect was detected in [53], [55].

6 Concluding remarks.

A. Functional approach.

In order to estimate the random error, i.e. the deviation $u_{n,N} - u_n$ in some space - time norm $||\cdot|| = ||\cdot||X,T$, more exactly, to estimate the value

$$Q_{n,N}(v) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{P}(\sqrt{N}||u_{n,N} - u_n||X,T > v),\ n = 2,3,\ldots,\ v = \mathrm{const} > 0, \tag{6.0}$$

we to use the Central Limit Theorem (CLT) in the correspondent Banach space $(K, ||\cdot||X,T$, in accordance with which there exists a limit

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} Q_{n,N}(v) \stackrel{def}{=} Q_{n,\infty}(v), \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$Q_{n,\infty}(v) = \mathbf{P}(||\xi(\cdot,\cdot)|| > v), \tag{6.2}$$

$\xi(x,t)$ is centered Gaussian distributed random field with values in the space $K,\ (\mathrm{mod}\,\mathbf{P})$.

For the space of continuous functions it is proved, e.g. in [53], [56], [54], [55], [57], [48], [52], [74]; in the classical Lebesgue - Riesz spaces L_p – in [58]; in the mixed Lebesgue-Riesz spaces-in [69], [70]; in the mixed hybrid Lebesgue-continuous spaces- in [71] etc.

The behavior as $v \to \infty$ of the probability $Q_{n,\infty}(v)$, asymptotical as well as non - asymptotical is obtained in many works, see, e.g. [72]:

$$Q_{n,\infty}(v) \sim C(X,T)\ v^{\kappa-1}\ \exp(-v^2/(2\sigma^2)),\ C(X,T), \kappa, \sigma^2 = \mathrm{const} > 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Equating the approximation of a value $Q_{n,\infty}(v)$ with $v \ge 3\sigma$, in the right - hand of (6.3) to the value δ :

$$C(X,T)\ v(\delta)^{\kappa-1}\ \exp(-v(\delta)^2/(2\sigma^2)) = \delta,\ v(\delta) \ge 3\sigma, \tag{6.4}$$

where $1 - \delta$ is reliability of the confidence interval, for instance, 0.95 or 0.99, we obtain the asymptotical confidence region for the function $u_n(x,t)$ in the norm $||\cdot||$ of the form

$$||u_n - u_{n,N}|| \le \frac{v(\delta)}{\sqrt{N}}. \tag{6.5}$$

B. General optimization.

The inequality (1.0a) follows from (6.2) - (6.5). Moreover, it may be proved under simple condition that there exists finite function $K = K_p(n),\ p > 1$ for which

$$\forall n = 1,2,\ldots\ \Rightarrow [\mathbf{E}||u_{n,N} - u_n||^p]^{1/p} \le K_p(n)\ N^{-1/2},$$

and analogous conclusion may be obtained for the $G(\psi)$ norm for the norm difference $||u_{n,N} - u_n||$.

The accuracy calculation show us that the constant $K(n)$ in (1.0a) is proportional to the value $D(n)$, where $D(n)$ is introduced and investigated in the third section, and obviously the relation $\sqrt{N} >> D(n)$ should be performed.

The common error $||u - u_{n,N}||$, including the deterministic part $\le q^n$ and probabilistic part $\le D(n)/\sqrt{N}$ does not exceed the value

$$\Delta := C\left(q^n + \frac{D(n)}{\sqrt{N}}\right). \tag{6.6}$$

It appears naturally the following qualitative optimization problem by fixed great value N :

$$q^n + \frac{D(n)}{\sqrt{N}} \to \min_n : \ D(n) << \sqrt{N}. \tag{6.7}$$

The practical computation taking into account the rate of increasing of the sequence $\{D(n)\}$ show us that the optimal value n is 4 - 5.

References

[1] Shangbin Cui. *Global well-posedness of the 3-dimensional Navier-Stokes initial value problem in $L(p) \cap L(2)$ with $3 < p < \infty$.* arXiv:1204.5040v1 [math.AP] 23 Apr 2012

[2] Chae Dongho and Lee Jihoon. *On the blow-up criterion and small data global existence for the Hall-magnetohydrodynamics.* arXiv:1305.4681v1 [math.AP] 21 May 2013

[3] Barraza O. *Self-similar solutions in weak L_p- spaces of the Navier-Stokes equations.* Revista Mat. Iberoamer., 12(1996), 411 439.

[4] Caffarelli L., Kohn R. and Nirenberg L. *Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **35,** (1082), 771 - 831.

[5] Calderon C. *Existence of weak solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations with initial data in $L(p)$.* Trans. A.M.S., 318(1990), 179 207.

[6] Cannone M. *A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations.* Revista Matematica Iberoamericana. V. 13 **3,** (1997), 515 - 542.

[7] Escauriaza L., Seregin G., and Sverak V. *$L(3,\infty)$ -Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations and Backward Uniqueness.* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 58(2003), no.2, 3 44.

[8] Fabes E., Johns B. and Riviere N. *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with data in $L(p)$.* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 45(1972), 222 240.

[9] Foias C., Guillope C. and Temam R. *New a priory estimates for Navier-Stokes equations in dimension 3.* Comm. in Part. Dif. Eq., **6,** (1981), 329 - 359.

[10] Fujita H. and Kato T. *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 16(1964), 269 315.

[11] Germain P. *Multipliers, paramultipliers, and weak-strong uniqueness for the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Diff. Equations., 226(2006), 373 428.

[12] Giga Y. *Solutions of semilinear parabolic equations in L^p and regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes system.* J. Diff. Equations, 62(1986), 186 212.

[13] Giga Y. and Miyakawa T. *Navier-Stokes flows in R^3 with measurea as initial vorticity and the Morrey spaces.* Comm. P. D. E., 14(1989), 577 618.

[14] Giga Y. and Sohr H. *Abstract $L^p -$ estimates for the Cauchy problem with Applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exteroir domains.* Hokkaido University, Preprint, Series 60 on Mathematics, November 1989.

[15] Giga Y. and Sohr H. *Abstract $L^p -$ estimates for the Cauchy problem with Applications to the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains.* J. Funk. Anal., **102** (1991), 72 - 94.

[16] Iwashita H. *$L^q - L^r$ estimates for solution of non-stationary Stokes equations in exterior domain and the Navier-Skokes initial value problems in L_q spaces.* Math. Ann., **285**, (1989), 265 - 288.

[17] Kato T. *Strong L_p solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in R^m with applications to weak solutions.* Math. Z., **187**, (1984), 471 - 480.

[18] Kato T. and Ponce G. *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations.* Comm. P. D. E., 41(1988), 891 - 907.

[19] Kenig C.E. and Koch G.S. *An alternative approach to regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space.* arXiv:0908.3349.

[20] Koch H. and Tataru D. *Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations.* Adv. in Math., 157(2001), 22 - 35.

[21] Kozono H. and Taniuchi Y. *Bilinear estimates in BMO and the Navier-Stokes equations.* Math. Z., **235**, (2000), 173 - 194.

[22] Lemarie-Rieusset P.G. *Weak infinite-energy solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations in R^3,* Preprint, 1998.

[23] Lemarie-Rieusset P.G. *Recent developments in the Navier-Stokes problems.* Research Notes in Mathematics, Chapman, Hall/CRC, 2002.

[24] Leray J. *Sur le mouvement dun liquide visqueux emplissant lespace.* Acta Math., **63**, (1934), 193 - 248.

[25] Luc Devroye. *Non-uniform Random Variate Generation.* Springer Verlag, (1986), New York Berlin Heidelberg Tokyo.

[26] Masuda K. *Weak solutions of Navier-Stokes equations.* Tohoku Math. J., 36(1984), 623 646.

[27] Montgomery-Smith S. *Finite-time blow up for a Navier-Stokes like equation.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129, (2001,) pages 3025 - 3029.

[28] Miura H. *Remarks on uniqueness of mild solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Funct. Anal., 218(2005), 110129.

[29] Ogawa T., Rajopadhye Sh. V. and Schonbek M.E. *Energy Decay for a Weak Solution of the Navier-Stokes Equation with Slowly Varying External Forces.* Journal of Functional Analysis, **144,** (1997), 325 - 358.

[30] Planchon F. *Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the imcompressible Navier-Stokes equations in R^3*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire, **13**, (1996), 319 - 336.

[31] Seregin G. *A certain necessary condition of potential blow up for Navier-Stokes equations.* arXiv:1104.3615. 21 Aug 2010.

[32] Seregin, G. (2011) *Necessary conditions of potential blowup for the Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 178, (2011), 345 - 352.

[33] Serrin J. *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations.* In: R.E. Langer, (Ed.), Nonlinear Problems, 1963, University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, 1963, pp. 69 98.

[34] Solonnikov V.A. *Estimates for Solutions of non-stationaty Navier - Stokes equations.* J. Soviet Math., **8**, (1977), 467 - 523.

[35] Stein E. M. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions.* Princeton, University Press, (1970), Princeton, New Jersey.

[36] Taylor M.E. *Pseudodifferential Operators.* Princeton, University Press; Princeton, New Jersey, (1981)

[37] Taylor M.E. *Partial Differential Equations I. Linear Equations.* Applied Math. Sciencies, **117,** Springer, (1996).

[38] Taylor M.E. *Partial Differential Equations III. Non-linear Equations.* Applied Math. Sciencies, **117,** Springer, (1996).

[39] Temam R. *Navier - Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis.* North-Holland Publishing Company. Amsterdam, New York,Oxford, (1977).

[40] Vishik M.I. *Hydrodynamics in Besov spaces.* Arch. ration. Mech. Anal., **145,** 197 - 214, (1998).

[41] Zeng Zhang, Zhaoyang Yin. *Global Well-posedness for the Generalized Navier-Stokes System.* arXiv:1306.3735v1 [math.AP] 17 Jun 2013

[42] Brascamp H.J. and E.H. Lieb E.H. *Best constants in Youngs inequality, its converse and its generalization to more than three functions.* Journ. Funct. Anal., **20**, (1976), 151 - 173.

[43] Ball J. *Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations.* Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, **28,** (1977), 473 - 486.

[44] Benameur, J. (2010) *On the blow-up criterion of 3D Navier-Stokes equations.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 371, (2010) 719 - 727.

[45] Gallagher I. and Paicu M. *Remarks on the blow-up of solutions to a toy model for the Navier-Stokes equations.* Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 137, 2009, pages 2075 - 2083.

[46] German P. *The second iterate for the Navier-Stokes equation.* arXiv:0806.4525v1 [math.AP] 27 Jun 2008

[47] Masahiro Ikeda, Soichiro Katayama, and Hideaki Sunagawa. *Null structure in a system of quadratic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations.* arXiv:1305.3662v1 [math.AP] 16 May 2013

[48] Kozachenko Yu. V., Ostrovsky E.I. (1985). *The Banach Spaces of random Variables of subgaussian type.* Theory of Probab. and Math. Stat. (in Russian). Kiev, KSU, **32**, 43 - 57.

[49] Ladyzhenskaya, O. A. *Example of non-uniqueness in the Hopf class of weak solutions for the navier-Stokes equations.* Izv. Ahad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. Tom 33 (1969), No. 1, pp. 229 - 236.

[50] Liflyand E., Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Structural Properties of Bilateral Grand Lebesgue Spaces.* Turk. J. Math.; **34** (2010), 207 - 219.

[51] Marino V., Pacella F., aan Scuinzi B. *Blow up of solution of semilinear heat equations in general domains.* arXiv:1306.1417v1 [math.AP] 6 Jun 2013

[52] Ostrovsky E.I. (1999). *Exponential estimations for random Fields and its applications (in Russian).* Moscow-Obninsk, OINPE.

[53] Frolov A.S., Tchentzov N.N. *On the calculation by the Monte-Carlo method definite integrals depending on the parameters.* Journal of Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, (1962), V. 2, Issue 4, p. 714-718 (in Russian).

[54] Gine E. and Zinn J. *Central Limit Theorem for Empirical Process.* In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1221, Probability and Banach Spaces; Proceedings, Zapagoza, (1985), Springer Verlag. Edited by J.Bastero and M.San Miguel. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo.

[55] Grigorjeva M.L., Ostrovsky E.I. *Calculation of Integrals on discontinuous Functions by means of depending trials method.* Journal of Computetional Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, (1996), V. 36, Issue 12, p. 28-39 (in Russian).

[56] Dudley R.M. *Uniform Central Limit Theorem.* Cambridge, University Press, (1999), 352-367.

[57] Heinkel B. Measures majorantes et le theoreme de la limite centrale dans C(S). Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheory. verw. Geb., (1977). 38, 339-351.

[58] Ledoux M., Talagrand M. *Probability in Banach Spaces.* Springer, (1991), Berlin, MR 1102015.

[59] Li B. *Maximum-norm stability and maximal L^p regularity of FEMs for parabolic equations with Lipschitz continuous coefficients.* arXiv:1309.2495v1 [math.NA] 10 Sep 2013

[60] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Quantitative lower bound for lifespan for solution of Navier - Stokes equations.* arXiv:1306.6211v1 [math.AP] 26 Jun 2013

[61] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Solvability of Navier - Stokes equations in some rearrangement invariant spaces.* arXiv:1305.5321v1 [math.AP] 23 May 2013

[62] Ostrovsky E., Rogover E. *Exact exponential bounds for the random field maximum disteribution via the majorizing measures (generic chaining).* arXiv:0802.0349v1 [math.PR] 4 Feb 2008

[63] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Monte-Carlo method for multiple parametric integrals calculation and solving of linear integral Fredholm equations of a second kind, with confidence regions in uniform norm.* arXiv:1101.5381v1 [math.FA] 27 Jan 2011

[64] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Simplification of the majotizing method, with development.* arXiv:1302.3202v1 [math.PR] 13 Feb 2013

[65] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Theory of approximation and continuity of random ptocesses.* arXiv:1303.3029v1 [math.PR] 12 Mar 2013

[66] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *CLT for continuous random processes under approximations terms.* arXiv:1304.0250v1 [math.PR] 31 Mar 2013

[67] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Monte Carlo computation of multiple weak singular integrals of spherical and Volterra's type.* arXiv:1405.6344v1 [math.NA] 24 May 2014

[68] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *The rate of increase for recursion with quadratic non - linearity.* arXiv:1406.6044v1 [math.GM] 21 Jun 2014

[69] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Exact exponential tail estimations in the law of iterated logarithm for Bochner's mixed Lebesgue spaces.* arXiv:1403.7884v1 [math.PR] 31 Mar 2014

[70] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Central Limit Theorem and exponnential tail estimates in mixed (anisotropic) Lebesgue spaces.* arXiv:1308.5606v1 [math.PR] 26 Aug 2013

[71] Ostrovsky E., Sirota L. *Central Limit Theorem and exponnential tail estimates in hybrid Lebesgue - continuous spaces.* arXiv:1309.2344v1 [math.PR] 9 Sep 2013

[72] Piterbarg V.I. *Asymptotical methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields.* Moscow, MSU, 1972.

[73] Talagrand M. *Isoperimetry and integrability of the sum of independent Banach-space valued random variables.* Ann. Probab., 17 (1989), 1546-1570.

[74] A.W. van der Vaart. *Uniform Central Limit Theorem.* J. of the Amer. Statist. Assotiation, March 1, (2001), 96(453), 339-355.

[75] Agarwal R.P. *Difference Equations and Inequalities.* Dekker, New York, 1992.

[76] Hone A. *Elliptic Curves and Quadratic Recurrence Sequences.* Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 37 (2005) 161 − 171.